

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/032,082   | 12/21/2001      | Chung-Chih Wang      |                         | 6827             |  |
| 25859 7  | 7590 04/02/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| WEI TE CHUNG<br>FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC.<br>1650 MEMOREX DRIVE<br>SANTA CLARA, CA 95050 |                 |                      | SONG, SA                | SONG, SARAH U    |  |
|  |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                 |                      | 2874                    | -                |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |  | <u>m</u>                               |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| •  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | • •                                    |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | 10/032,082  | WANG ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sarah Song  | 2874   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence addr                                    | ress                                   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 03/23/04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | oid abandonment of this application<br>a timely filed amendment which   | ation. A proper reply<br>h places the applicat         | tion in                                |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>  | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | g date of the final rejectio                           | n.                                     |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amon<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>the later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The approoriginally set in the final ( | opriate extension<br>Office action; or |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF   |   |  | •                                      |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (s   | see NOTE below);                                       |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | ion(s):   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed a                                | amendment                              |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See   |   | dered but does NOT                                     | place the                              |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ause it is not directed SOLELY to   | o issues which were                                    | newly                                  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we  | · <i>· ·</i> —  |  | nd an                                  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr  | oved or b) disapproved by the   | ne Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen   | it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| John D. John D. John D. John D. John D. J. Primary Exa   | Jec<br>se<br>miner  | Sarah Song<br>Patent Examiner<br>Group Art Unit 2874   | y                                      |  |  |  |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Shi et al. discloses, among other things, two bores and a passage as noted in the previous rejection. It is noted that the ordinary meaning of passage is a "path, channel or duct, through, over or along which something may pass", as found in the American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition, © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company.